AdKDD August 23, 2020

# Contextual Bandits for Advertising Budget Allocation

Benjamin Han Applied Machine Learning

Jared Gabor Data Science



# **Driver Acquisition at Lyft**

## Lyft Advertising





Drive with Lyft Sponsored · @



For a limited time, earn a \$1,000 bonus after 500 rides when you become a Lyft Driver.



**lyR** Science

## **Objective**

- Maximize total payouts f(x) over N campaigns given total budget B
- Equivalently, maximize total payouts given minimum marginal ROI,  $\frac{df(x)}{dx}$

Maximum Budget Constraint





**lyR** Science

## **Objective**

- Maximize total payouts f(x) over N campaigns given total budget B
- Equivalently, maximize total payouts given minimum marginal ROI,  $\frac{df(x)}{dx}$
- Equivalently, maximize total payouts given maximum Cost Per Incremental Acquisition,  $\left(\frac{df_i(x_i)}{dx}\right)^{-1}$

Maximum Budget Constraint



Maximum Cost Per Incremental Acquisition

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{maximize}} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}(x_{i}) \\ \text{subject to} & \left(\frac{df_{i}(x_{i})}{dx}\right)^{-1} \leq C, \qquad i \in \{1, ..., N\} \\ & x_{i} \geq 0, \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, ..., N\} \end{array}$$

**lyR** Science



$$\begin{array}{ll} \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}}{\text{maximize}} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i(x_i) \\ \text{subject to} & \left(\frac{df_i(x_i)}{dx}\right)^{-1} \leq C, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ & x_i \geq 0, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \frac{df_i(x_i)}{dx} = C^{-1}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \\ & i \in \{1, \dots, N\} \end{array}$$

- Value of Driver = (Net Profit per Ride)(Incremental Rides generated by Driver)
  - Profitable if value exceeds Cost Per Incremental Acquisition (CPIA)
- Regional CPIA Targets are set externally based on forecast supply/demand

**Problem:** Given historical campaign performance and regional CPIA targets, Spend Allocator must yield a daily budget for each campaign. Our goal is to **maximize total acquisition**.

Intuition: Strongly performing campaigns should get large budgets, weak campaigns should get reduced budgets and eventually removed.

What about new or inconsistent campaigns with high risk or uncertainty?

# **Spend Allocator**

- 1. Contextual Payout Modeling (interpolation)
- 2. Bayesian Linear Regression (extrapolation)
- 3. Thompson Sampling (exploration/exploitation)

## **Contextual Payout Modeling (interpolation)**

## Use ad context and proposed budget to predict future acquisition

- Dominating feature is proposed budget (more spend, more acquisition). Also yesterday's acquisition, age of creative, target demographic, impressions/clicks/leads, incentive, day of week
- Global model trained on entire performance history, across regions and campaigns
- Excellent performance *near-sample*

Approach	Bias	MAE	MSE
мсмс	0.1575	0.2144	0.5881
RF	<mark>-0.0340</mark>	<mark>0.0788</mark>	<mark>0.0656</mark>
LGBM	-0.0764	0.1894	0.3463

#### Limitations

- Predictions are not differentiable
- Don't always extrapolate well (especially tree models)





**lyR** Science

## **Desirable Payout Function Properties**

#### Differentiable

Can be solved using the proposed portfolio maximization strategy

#### Monotonically Increasing

More allocated budget forecast more driver activations

#### **Diminishing Returns**

Cannot expect linear (or superlinear) driver activations as a function of budget. response rates after repeat exposures.

$$y = w_1 x^{w_2}$$
$$\log(y) = w_1 + w_2 \log(x)$$

Activations vs Spend: c75a1d7ec098377ed423b60dd13cf590



Budget vs CPIA Targets: c75a1d7ec098377ed423b60dd13cf590



**lyA** Science

## **Bayesian Linear Regression (extrapolation)**

Linear Regression using historical observations augmented by model point-predictions

**luR** Science

Bayesian Linear Regression yields Curve Distribution with measure of uncertainty



## **Thompson Sampling (exploration/exploitation)**

What about new or inconsistent campaigns with high variance or risk?

#### **Exploitation**

Campaigns with established history are more predictable and can be priced accurately.



**Exploration** 

Campaigns with inconsistent performance or with

limited history have greater uncertainty.

**IyR** Science

Han and Gabor. 2020. Contextual Bandits for Advertising Budget Allocation.

#### **Experimental Results**

#### 22% CPA Savings, or 1.5¢ Profit per ride

 (21.8 +/- 10.2)% reduction in mean Cost Per (driver) Activation controlling for location, (21.5 +/- 13.1)% controlling for time

(Post Period / Pre Period) Spend Efficiency (higher is better): Mean Treatment Q: 0.8316 +/- 0.0654 Mean Control Q: 0.6842 +/- 0.0503 Relative Improvement Q: 1.215408 +/- 0.130842





region		
BOS	1.137084	0.240171
СНІ	1.325651	0.572299
DCA	1.099174	0.234972
DEN	0.988644	0.119454
РНІ	1.155682	0.208775
PIT	1.790309	0.107267
SFO	1.030943	0.099320

Mean Regional improvement: 1.218212 +/- 0.102981





- Solve profitable budget allocation for known returns
  using Cost per Incremental Acquisition (CPIA)
- For unknown payouts, **RF\_BLR** to explore uncertainty
  - Random Forest model provides accurate point estimates near-sample
  - Bayesian Linear Regression provides differentiable curve far-from-sample
  - Thompson Sampling explores budget-action space based on uncertainty
- Measured a 22% mean Cost Per Acquisition reduction, or ~\$30 million annually, over MCMC
- Deployed globally, managing hundreds of millions of dollars annually



**Iy R** Science



Lyft is hiring!

Benjamin Han Applied Machine Learning <u>bhan@lyft.com</u> <u>benjamin.han90@gmail.com</u>



Please reach out with questions/comments/feedback

**IyR** Science